

Discussion on:
Is Inflation Default?
The Role of Information in Debt Crises

by Marco Bassetto and Carlo Galli

Gaetano GABALLO

Banque de France¹, PSE and CEPR

10 May 2018

¹The views expressed in this discussion do not necessarily reflect the ones of Banque de France.

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

inflation “pricers” are less informed agents than default “pricers”

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

inflation “pricers” are less informed agents than default “pricers”

Main ingredient II: Primary market traders guess what secondary market agents know

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

inflation “pricers” are less informed agents than default “pricers”

Main ingredient II: Primary market traders guess what secondary market agents know

ignorance promotes liquidity value (Gorton and Ordoñez, 14)

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

inflation “pricers” are less informed agents than default “pricers”

Main ingredient II: Primary market traders guess what secondary market agents know

ignorance promotes liquidity value (Gorton and Ordoñez, 14)

but it comes at a cost (private vs social, Gaballo and Ordoñez, 18)

The paper

Main ingredient I: public debt denominated in domestic currency (Yen) is more resilient to news shocks than because public debt denominated in foreign currency (Euro)

inflation “pricers” are less informed agents than default “pricers”

Main ingredient II: Primary market traders guess what secondary market agents know

ignorance promotes liquidity value (Gorton and Ordoñez, 14)

but it comes at a cost (private vs social, Gaballo and Ordoñez, 18)

My discussion: give a try to an alternative simpler model that retains ingredient I and II

An alternative model: stage 2, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

An alternative model: stage 2, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

where $z_2 = s + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{\beta_2}}$ is a **public** signal (with $\epsilon_2 \sim N(0, 1)$) across traders at period 2.

An alternative model: stage 2, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

where $z_2 = s + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{\beta_2}}$ is a **public** signal (with $\epsilon_2 \sim N(0, 1)$) across traders at period 2.

At stage 2, a strategic trader i has CARA utility function:

$$d_i(q_2) = \frac{E[s|q_2, z_2] - q_2}{\gamma \text{Var}(s|q_2, z_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad D \text{ fixed}$$

leads to

$$q_2 = w_2 z_2 + \gamma \text{Var}(s|q_2, z_2) D$$

where $w_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0 + \beta_2}$.

An alternative model: stage 1, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

An alternative model: stage 1, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

where $z_1 = s + \frac{\epsilon_1}{\sqrt{\beta_1}}$ is a **public** signal (with $\epsilon_1 \sim N(0, 1)$) across traders at period 1.

An alternative model: stage 1, no price recall

Based on **intensive** rather than extensive margins

Fundamental: $s \sim N(0, \beta_0^{-2})$

where $z_1 = s + \frac{\epsilon_1}{\sqrt{\beta_1}}$ is a **public** signal (with $\epsilon_1 \sim N(0, 1)$) across traders at period 1.

At stage 1, a strategic trader i has CARA utility function:

$$d_i(q_1) = \frac{E[q_2|q_1, z_1] - q_1}{\gamma \text{Var}(q_2|q_1, z_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad D \text{ fixed}$$

leads to

$$q_1 = w_2 E[s|q_1, z_1] = w_2 w_1 z_1 + \gamma \text{Var}(q_2|q_1, z_1) D$$

where $w_2 w_1 = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0 + \beta_2} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1}$.

Results I

- ▶ the lower the precision of information of the marginal agent at final stage, β_2 , the lower the reaction of prices to news (z_1)
- ▶ Coming back to the original model:
 - ▶ in the Yen economy, workers are marginal agent in the last period
 - ▶ in the Euro economy, traders are marginal agent in the last period
- ▶ traders have higher precision information than workers
 - ▶ in the Yen economy the price debt is more resilient to news
 - ▶ this implicit insurance comes at a cost
- ▶ All this appears in the alternative model

An alternative model: stage 2, with price recall

Based on intensive rather than extensive margins

At stage 2, a strategic trader i has CARA utility function:

$$d_i(q_2) = \frac{E[s|z_1, z_2] - q_2}{\gamma \text{Var}(s|z_1, z_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad D \text{ fixed}$$

leads to

$$q_1 = w_2 z_2 + k_2 z_1 + \gamma \text{Var}(s|q_2, z_2) D$$

where

$$w_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2} \quad k_2 = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

The model: Euro (alternative, stage 1, with price recall)

Based on intensive rather than extensive margins

At stage 1, a strategic trader i has CARA utility function:

$$d_i(q_1) = \frac{E[q_2|z_1] - q_1}{\gamma \text{Var}(q_2|z_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad D \text{ fixed}$$

leads to

$$q_1 = w_2 E[s|q_1, z_1] + k_2 z_1 = (w_2 w_1 + k_2) z_1 + \gamma \text{Var}(q_2|q_1, z_1) D$$

where

$$w_2 w_1 + k_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

can now be decreasing in β_2

The model: Euro (alternative, stage 1, with price recall)

Based on intensive rather than extensive margins

At stage 1, a strategic trader i has CARA utility function:

$$d_i(q_1) = \frac{E[q_2|z_1] - q_1}{\gamma \text{Var}(q_2|z_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad D \text{ fixed}$$

leads to

$$q_1 = w_2 E[s|q_1, z_1] + k_2 z_1 = (w_2 w_1 + k_2) z_1 + \gamma \text{Var}(q_2|q_1, z_1) D$$

where

$$w_2 w_1 + k_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}$$

can now be decreasing in β_2

- but cannot go above the value for $\beta_2 = 0$!

Results II

- ▶ at low levels of β_2 , the lower the precision of information of the marginal agent at final stage, β_2 , the higher the reaction of prices to news, z_1
- ▶ Introducing (nice!!!) caveats to Result I

Bottom line

- ▶ Where is the advantage of the extensive margins approach?
 - ▶ It seems that a standard CARA model can do the job
- ▶ Where are the justifications for the extensive margins approach?
 - ▶ private information about public debt?!
 - ▶ are extensive margins more volatile than intensive margins?
- ▶ none of the main ingredients (nor the nice caveat) rely on the extensive margin approach. Two options:
 - ▶ exploit the potential of extensive margins approach
 - ▶ simplify the model

Good job!

The paper

- ▶ **Issuing Debt: trade-off in currency choice:**
 - ▶ foreign currency: main risk is default (Euro)
 - ▶ domestic currency: main risk is inflation (Yen)
- ▶ Focus: price resilience to news on solvency (Welfare?)
 - ▶ foreign: debt is priced by well-informed traders
 - ▶ domestic: traders need to anticipate inflation which is driven by less-informed workers
- ▶ Results: price more sensitive with more information
 - ▶ foreign: better for high performing countries
 - ▶ domestic: better for poor performing countries
 - such implicit insurance may come at a cost (quantification?)

The model: Euro (original, stage 2, no price recall)

Based on extensive margins

A strategic trader i puts all her wealth on the bond when:

$$\hat{s}[\theta + (1 - \theta)E(1 - \delta|x_i, q_2) - q_2] > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D = 1 - \Phi(\epsilon)$$

leads to

$$q_2 = \theta + (1 - \theta)\Phi\left(\frac{w_2 z_2 - \hat{s}}{\sigma_S}\right)$$

where

$$z_2 = s + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\sqrt{\beta_2}}$$

is the private ex. signal received by the marginal trader.